



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,117	08/20/2001	Robert Schmeler	6077-04WOUS	5845
7590 McCormick Paulding & Huber City Place II 185 Asylum Street Hartford, CT 06103-4102			EXAMINER WALBERG, TERESA J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/868,117

**Applicant(s)**

SCHMELE ET AL.

**Examiner**

Teresa J. Walberg

**Art Unit**

3744

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Teresa J. Walberg, examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Nick Tuccillo, attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 31-62.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney noted that the office action of 11/25/08 appeared to list incorrect claim numbers as being rejected. The examiner confirmed that the attorney was correct. The body of the office action should have listed the rejected claims as being 31-34 and 47-50. Claims 35-46 and 51-62, which were indicated in the body of the office action as being rejected, should have been listed as being allowable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Teresa J. Walberg/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744